



I'm not robot



Continue

can't bind to this lacO operator; this lacZ copy is always expressed (oc is epistatic to is) (v) Constitutively (same as iii) i+ p+ o+ z- 3. But hh homozygotes, even if they are making A or B or both polysaccharides, still have an O blood type because the H moiety is not made; there is nowhere for the A or B polysaccharide to be added to. (v) An 18 cm plant has 2 additive alleles; any genotype such as AaBbCcdd or aaBbCcdd would work. Because synapsis of the two X chromosomes is more probable than synapsis of an X with a Y, the "Y is unpaired" outcome of meiosis I (see the diagram above) is more probable than the "X is unpaired" outcome. When we do that, we find that the ratio is 8 creoper : 4 normal, i.e., 2:1 creoper: normal. One chromosome is XgH (the one she got from her father, II-2); the chromosome she got from her mother (II-1) is either Xgh (if there was no recombination between the two X's in her mother) or XgH (if there was recombination). For instance, one can mutagenize a stock that is heterozygous for one (or more) known recessive markers on each chromosome, mate these with non-mutagenized strains not carrying the recessive marker alleles, and cross the F1 progeny with each other. Sample A DNA is either linear (with a single cut site for Pst I, so that one cut breaks the linear molecule into two), or circular with two cut sites for (the first cut linearizes the circle; the second cut breaks the linear molecule into two). Here, the ratio of (A+G) to (C+T) = 1; therefore this is probably (but not necessarily) double-stranded. So allele D of I-2 has co-segregated about half the time with allele 13 of PS1 and about half the time with allele 20. The equation then is (a+b)/6 = 1 a6 + 6a5b + 15a4b2 + 20a3b3 + 15a2b4 + 6ab5 + b6 = 1 For a family with exactly 2 affected and 4 unaffected children, we use the term 15a4b2 (the exponents indicating the number of a=unaffected and b=affected children). Her husband (II-2) and son (III-1) are both colorblind but not hemophilic, so they both must be XgHY. Here, the b-d-e-f segment is inverted, so that portion will form an inversion loop during prophase of meiosis I: y-a and g-h will remain outside the loop. 5. In real life, if you saw two bands that didn't add up to the full size (e.g., lane ii - 7 kb band + 3 kb band = 10 kb instead of 20 kb), that would clue you in that there might be multiple fragments of the same size. 1998-3 As described in lecture (refer to the part on evidence for random segregation of homologs in meiosis), meiosis in the exceptional females (XXY, homozygous for the X-linked white allele) can give four kinds of gametes because the two X chromosomes can pair up during synapsis, or an X and a Y--in which case the lone X could segregate either with the other X or with the Y. The haploid form has only one set to begin with, so it cannot undergo a reductional division. (c) The Southern blot approach only detects fragment sizes, not chromosomal locations. Therefore, the gene order on the chromosome is: y-----t-----g-----32-----6-----12 3. Thus, the B parent is BBHH and shows the B phenotype; the O parent is AAhh, which does not express the A allele and appears to be O. Let's look at Ava I + Bam HI. 4. histidinol phosphate rescues both M4 and M1 mutations.) (ii) (Thiazole rescues thi-1, so the problem with thi-1 must be thiazole synthase, likewise, the problem with thi-2 must be pyrimidine synthesis, normal separately from yellow vs. Now we can calculate the percent recombinant types for each interval: # of crossovers in sn-s interval = SCO (in sn-s) + DCO = (99 +91) + (21 + 17) = 228 Percent recombination in B-A interval = (228/1000)*100 = 22.8 # of crossovers in s-fu interval = SCO (in s-fu) + DCO = (69 + 75) + (21 + 17) = 182 Percent recombination in A-C interval = (182/1000)*100 = 18.2 (a) Genotype of female parent = sn+ s+ fu / sn s fu+ (This notation--a set of alleles, then a slash "/" then another set of alleles--is standard notation to show that the first set of alleles is on one homolog and the second set of alleles following the slash is on the second homolog.) Genotype of male parent = sn+ s+ fu+Y (b) Map of the region: sn-----22.8 cM-----18.2 cM-----fu [-----] (c) Predicted # of DCO products = (0.228)(0.182)1000 = 41 Observed # of DCO products = 38 Coefficient of coincidence = 38/41 = 0.927 Interference = (1 - 0.927) = 0.073. (ii) 5% (= 0.05, the frequency of heterozygotes in the population). There are 6 steps in height, so there can be a maximum of 6 additive alleles--i.e., there are three gene pairs. Therefore, if done correctly (with a suitably large sample size - number of cells examined) the FISH results might be more believable. In turn, we have to know the genotypes of their parents, and so on. So we can ask if one of these four alleles segregates with the dominant trait (i.e., do people who show the dominant phenotype -- and therefore, inherited allele D -- also get one of those four alleles preferentially?) Let's look at PS1 first. If the translocation had been to an autosome, the exceptional son would have had some wildtype daughters. Some of these eggs can give rise to fertile red-eyed males and white-eyed females, the secondary exceptions. Likewise, the A allele could not have been hiding in the B parent, because then the B parent would not be true-breeding.) Furthermore, it must be the recessive allele of the second gene (which we shall call h, the dominant allele being H) that prevents expression of the A/B allele. (b) The problem in measuring mutation frequency is estimating how many cell divisions have occurred. The mutant allele cannot provide Gal80-binding activity, but the normal allele can -- the heterozygote can respond like wild type. Possibility 1 -- reg is an activator of transcription. Thus, the pathway is: 10. (ii) Conversion of A to B cannot proceed, so B will rescue. There are a couple of ways of setting this up. For example, if the inversion is as predicted, one can set up Southern blots, using probes for the presumptive junction regions. (ii) The family history of Down syndrome suggests that this may be a case of translocation Down syndrome -- in which case, the younger woman (belonging to that family) has a higher risk of a Down syndrome baby (because the chance of nondisjunction in a 38-year old woman is about 1 in 100 -- see pg 69 of the lecture notes -- while the chance of translocation Down carrier having a Down baby is 1 in 4). (i) Genotype is more important in determining phenotype (ii) Genotype (iii) Environment is more important than genotype (iv) Environment 5. There are 64 possible triplets and three of these (UAA, UAG, UGA) are stop codons. 1-1998 (i) The 38-year old has a higher risk of a Down syndrome baby, because the probability of nondisjunction during meiosis increases with age in human females. If other regulatory mechanisms are also abrogated (by unrelated events), the cell or its descendants could become malignant. 12. Therefore, let a = probability of unaffected child = 3/4, and b = probability of affected child = 1/4. (iii) 25 cm plants have one additive allele -- genotype Aabb or aaBb. 35 cm plants have three additive alleles--genotype AABb or AaBb. The math Case 1: probability of a chance match = (0.01)(0.02)(0.003)(0.01)(0.07)(0.04)(0.13)(0.08)(0.04)(0.05)32 = 1.1 x 10^-14 -- i.e., the probability of getting this combination of alleles just by chance is about 1 in 100 trillion. If mated to ttt plants (whose gametes will all be tt), the progeny are expected to be T-----tttt (i.e., tall and short) in 5:1 ratio. One possible configuration is shown: The "adjacent" pattern of segregation would give Tt and Dd gametes, while the "alternate" pattern would give TD and td. (b) The crossovers are both outside the inversion loop, so again, there will be no reduction in fertility. (b) III-1 - his X chromosome, which he got from his mother, is XgH, while his mother is XGHXgh. (Why? Construct 2. Therefore, any chromosome that is found in cell lines D, E, or F can not simply be crossed out from the list of possibilities (eliminated candidates shown below as colored-out boxes). Human chromosomes present in cell lines that do not have the insulin sequence can be eliminated from our list of possible candidates. The FISH approach detects the chromosomal location of the sequence being probed, and is not subject to this limitation. The match to the suspect in Case 1 is more meaningful -- the alleles that are matched are much less frequent in the population, so a chance match (i.e., the suspect and the crime scene DNA matching just due to chance) is improbable. Single-stranded -- for a double-stranded DNA molecule (where every A is paired to a T and every C to a G) the ratio should be 1.0. 8. (i) Quantitative inheritance. The cross is outlined below; the children are expected to be unaffected females and ocular albinism males in 1:1 ratio. The reg gene product must be a regulator of transcription of operon ABC. (ii) Before the virus comes through, the frequency of the three genotypes is: Homozygous dominant = p2 = 0.25 Heterozygotes = 2pq = 0.5 Homozygous recessive = q2 = 0.25 After the viral epidemic, the only cats left are homozygous dominant and heterozygotes: Homozygous dominant = p2 = 0.25 Heterozygotes = 2pq = 0.5 Homozygous recessive = q2 = 0.25 Now the heterozygotes make up 2/3 of the surviving population, so the recessive allele makes up 1/3 of the total alleles in the population. We use the binomial distribution to solve this one. -10/19/99) Now we can start calculating map distances: P-H map distance = percent recombinants in this interval = (SCO in P-H) + DCO) as percent of total progeny = (150 + 132 + 18)/2500 = 300/2500 = 0.12, or 12 cM. 1997-4 (a) I-1 is unaffected, so he must be XGHY. So another way of stating the question is -- In which of these matings are all of the daughters heterozygous? To find the correct gene order, we start with the known NCO types, and see if a double crossover yields the known DCO types. white. (i) Therefore, the probability that III-4 is Dd is (1/2)(2/3) = 1/3. (a) Note: Your answer does not need to be this long-winded! The strategy here is to look at the dominant trait and ask: does any one allele of the polymorphic trait preferentially segregate with the dominant trait? We now see in this double digest that Bam HI leaves the 48 kb fragment untouched -- we're still seeing a 48 kb fragment. Therefore, the gene for Enzyme H must be also be on chromosome 3. At this point, the alleles should be at Hardy-Weinberg frequencies, so the subsequent generation will not show a change. Therefore, the gene for Enzyme AD must be on chromosome 14. For Sample B, however, if it remains as a single molecule after Pst I treatment -- so either it is a circle with a single cut site, as we concluded in (a), or it lacks Pst I cut sites altogether, in which case we do not have enough information to decide whether it is circular or linear. The map is: If a recombinant sector has phenotype a alone, then the crossover must have occurred between a and all the other genes; if a sector has phenotypes a and b, then b must be between a and all the other genes, etc. (Go through the worksheet on p.40 of the lecture notes if you're still confused.) 2. (i) 100% -- because all of the environmental factors within each city are constant and uniform, all the observed variation in IQ must be genetic. Which one of the following conclusions drawn is correct? Considering the phenotype of III-3, the only possible recombinant gamete is XgH; the probability of that is 0.03. Assuming that we are starting with the dominant alleles in cis in the heterozygote (i.e., +++/j/s), then parental, double-crossover, and single-crossover products can be predicted as follows: Gamete type Gamete genotype (= progeny phenotype) Predicted number of progeny DCO i + s and + j + = (0.18)(0.12)(1000) = 22 total; 11 of each SCO in i-j interval + j s and i + + = (total recombinants in this interval) - DCO = (0.18)(1000) - 22 = 180 - 22 = 158; 79 of each SCO in j-s interval + + s and i j + = (total recombinants in this interval) - DCO = (0.12)(1000) - 22 = 120 - 22 = 98; 49 of each NCO (parental) + + + and i j s = total - (all recombinants) = 1000 - (22 + 158 + 98) = 722; 361 of each 5. I may have to revise this initial hypothesis later on--e.g., this may be a case of incomplete dominance between two alleles--but at least for starters, I'm going to assume simple dominant/recessive interaction. (b) This is a zygotic gene; failure to produce hunchback protein in loss of anterior segments. That's right, if creoper is dominant over normal and creoper is lethal when homozygous, we'd get a 2:1 ratio of creoper : normal in the progeny. 11. Therefore, what the question is asking is: what fraction of the tall plants are heterozygous? Because I-1 and I-2 are affected but have an affected daughter (II-1), they must both be carriers -- genotype Dd (where D = dominant, unaffected; d = recessive, affected). ... (i) Red pigment cannot be made, so the flowers will be blue. (And conversely, does an allele preferentially segregate with the recessive allele? Since 8 additive alleles (4 genes) contribute 24 cm, each additive allele contributes 3 cm. Because yellow and rough are seen in twin spots with each other, but not with mottled or sparse--therefore, the y and r genes must lie on one arm of the chromosome. The parental non-crossover (NCO) allele combinations are HptI and hPT (these being the most abundant progeny phenotypes), while the double-crossover (DCO) classes are HPT and hpt. 3-1998 (i) The F1 females should be heterozygous at all loci. 1998-2 You just have to realize that because the ratio of phenotypes is very different in females vs. The tumor was derived from a single cell that had one X chromosome inactivated; since X inactivation is stably propagated through mitosis, all daughters of that cell have the same inactive X. The control DNA should give a 2.5 kb fragment on the Southern blot. So the probability that both members will be correctly identified = 1 - 0.49 = 0.51 (or 51%). Women and men are affected, so it cannot be sex-limited or Y-linked. Other than that, the procedure is the same as above--you use just the male progeny to follow the recombination that occurred in the female parent. This one is a little tricky. The gametes produced by the mother will be d, 7 and d, 15 in equal proportions, as in (b). Putting this information together -- A/a, D/d and F/f are in the same linkage group; B/b and E/e are in a separate linkage group. Then the female progeny would all show the dominant phenotypes, and should be ignored; the male progeny would get the single X from the female, and show the same parental and recombinant phenotypes listed above. (b) If we assume that the scenario we have described above is true -- i.e., D/d and P/2 are linked, with alleles D and 21 in cis -- then we can look for individuals who have allele D but not allele 21, or conversely, lack allele D but have allele 21, as evidence of recombination. Clearly, the observed progeny numbers don't match either scenario. Presumably, the X-ray treatment caused a translocation of the end of the X chromosome carrying sc+ such that the sc+ allele was transmitted to that son. (ii) Identical (monozygotic) twins arise when an early embryo splits so that each portion develops into an individual fetus. The true-breeding (homozygous) progeny therefore make up 1/3 of the survivors. females, we have to assume that this is not a sex-linked gene. 1-1998 The phenotype of a (recessive) maternal effect mutation is that females homozygous for the mutation have offspring that fail to develop normally regardless of their genotypes. Affected women have unaffected sons (e.g., I-1 and II-3), so it cannot be recessive in women and dominant in men. 5-1998 (i) Because the plant height and color genes are on separate chromosomes, they should assort independently; the cross should give TD, Td, tD, and td progeny in 1:1:1:1 ratio. By the same logic, CLN is an inhibitor of SIC. (One could postulate that pairs of loci are very tightly linked, but that does not explain the lack of recombinants between the ends of the group.) (ii) A deletion could be ruled out because half the F2 males would inherit an X chromosome lacking genes, and would probably fail to develop. So these three cell lines must have duplications of Gene E. (iv) Each parent has 4 additive alleles; since the F1 also have 4 additive alleles, the parents must be each be homozygous; the additive alleles of one parent are not present in the other. (a) Someone who is homozygous normal will have two identical copies of the allele that has all four Xba I sites -- i.e., digestion of their DNA with Xba I and hybridization with the indicated probe should detect three fragments, of sizes 3 kb, 5 kb, and 7 kb. (a) For the mutant allele (a*) to cause inappropriate cell proliferation, it must be resistant to inhibition by Protein B. Therefore, any yeast colonies that form on these plates must have a functional ADE gene. However, catabolite repression is still intact, so this constitutive transcription will occur only when glucose is absent. 6. Remember that normal diploid cells have two copies of the gene for Enzyme E (Gene E) and two copies of the gene for Enzyme Z (Gene Z). The probability that III-5 is heterozygous Dd is 2/3 (he could be DD or Dd, with a 2/3 chance of being Dd -- just as with II-3). Food color #2: 18/(9361 + 18) = 0.002/generation -- this rate is no higher than the background rate, so Food color #2 is not mutagenic. Therefore, at meiotic Metaphase II, the number of chromatids = 2 x haploid chromosome number (N) = 18. In female progeny, the consequence will be that X chromosomes will be undercounted. However, the other allele will give different products, which will be seen in addition to the normal digestion products (asterisks indicate absence of Xba I sites): Genotype Digestion products detected 3 kb, 5 kb, 7 kb, and 8 kb 3 kb, 5 kb, 7 kb, and 10 kb 3 kb, 5 kb, 7 kb, and 10 kb 3 kb, 5 kb, 7 kb, and 10 kb (b) As seen above, four different alleles are possible -- the normal allele (with all 4 Xba I sites) plus the three alleles lacking one or both Xba I sites. (a) The probability of the outcome described = (3/4)(3/4)(1/4)(1/4)(1/4) = 9/1024 (b) The probability of 2 normal and 3 albino children in any order can be calculated using binomial expansion. The F1 consist of tall plants only, so the unknown must be homozygous TT; the cross is shown. (c) 2 x 10^-5 (because there are two copies of allele a+, and mutation of either one of them would be sufficient to cause inappropriate cell proliferation). Consequently, the posterior segments in the embryo fail to develop normally (the posterior of the embryo is where nanos protein normally is localized). (i) The d allele will be more frequent, as the forward mutation (D to d) occurs at a higher rate than the back mutation. (g) Since phosphoenol pyruvate (PEP) is one of the glycolysis products that inhibits cAMP production (and thereby blocks CAP activation), the failure to produce PEP will result in reduced inhibition of CAP activation by glucose; lactose will induce lac operon transcription even in the presence of glucose. The completed map is: P/p H/h T/t [-----]-----] 12 cM 8 cM Coefficient of coincidence = (observed DCO/Expected DCO) Observed DCO = 18 Expected DCO = (0.12)(0.08)(2500) = 24 Coefficient of coincidence = 18/24 = 0.75. (b) As in mitosis, there should be two chromatids per chromosome, i.e., 36 chromatids (but the arrangement of chromosomes will be different from mitosis). (b) The probe will hybridize only to those fragments with which it overlaps. We would normally expect to see recombination in each interval, giving up to 26 = 64 different progeny phenotypes (in a ratio that would depend on the map distances). All we can say is that there must have been an odd number of crossovers in the inversion loop. There's a catch--how do we deal with the problem that the progeny from the cross are going to be inviable? Then the probability that both will be purple (if it was indeed a dihybrid cross) = (3/4)(3/4) = 9/16; the probability that she has missed a white progeny plant has dropped to 7/16 = 0.4375. We can predict the results of cross (h): Red #2 x blue = RW x BW: R W B RB (red) BW (blue) W RW (red) WW (white) -- a 2 : 1 : 1 ratio of red : blue : white. Hmmm. (c) Transcription of lacZ and lacY will still be under normal inducible control; the lacA product alone will not be made. The mutant phenotype is expected to be dominant, because even if normal protein is being made and only activates transcription when appropriate, the mutant protein will always activate transcription. Furthermore, only men have been affected in this pedigree, arguing against a simple autosomal recessive pattern. Pedigree symbols Q.1 Study the Pedigree Analysis and find that the trait is : Autosomal DominantAutosomal recessiveX-linked dominantX-linked recessive (Autosomal Dominant) Q2) A pedigree is shown below for a disease that is autosomal dominant. Here, the ratio is 9 white : 3 yellow, a simple 3:1 ratio. If the two loci are linked at a map distance of 44 cM, we expect 44% of the gametes to be recombinant -- i.e., 44% of the progeny should show the recombinant (non-parental) phenotype. Possibility 2 -- reg is a repressor of transcription. One example of such a cross is: aabbcc x AABbCc The F1 progeny from such a cross would be heterozygous at two loci, and have 2 additive alleles, giving a height of 30 cm. Likewise, m and g must lie on the other arm of the chromosome. A mutation that results in the erbB protein behaving as though it had bound to a growth factor even in the absence of the growth factor could cause the cell to begin dividing in the absence of growth factor. The result would then support the minorityview even even if the gene is indeed split by the translocation. In non-patients, the probe should hybridize only to one chromosome (but to both homologs of that chromosome) -- e.g., if the growth factor gene is on chromosome 9, we should see hybridization to the two homologs of chromosome 9. However, if 7/7 and F/f are linked, it should be possible to find genes in the interval between them that are linked to both. If the female progeny from Cross #1 have tailless offspring, the unknown mutation must be in torso; if the female progeny from Cross #2 have tailless offspring, the unknown mutation must be in fs. One quarter of the F2 progeny are homozygous recessive (hh); these again appear to be O because the H allele is required for expression of A and B. Likewise, affected men have unaffected daughters (e.g., II-5 and III-6) so it cannot be dominant in women and recessive in men. However, doing so would ignore the contribution of recessive alleles from the heterozygotes in each population. Note that the various fragment sizes should always add up to the full length (20 kb in this example). However, rare double crossovers can be viable. The Tt and Dd gametes would be inviable, so the only viable progeny would have TD and td phenotypes -- the parental types. The same result will be true of knrps also, as it too is inhibited by bicoid. (b) The same 2.5 kb probe could be used to do a FISH experiment, again comparing cells from affected vs. (a) Using XH and Xh to represent X chromosomes bearing the normal and hemophilia alleles, respectively, the six possible matings are: XHXH & XHY (b) For the daughter to be a carrier, she must be heterozygous XHXh (if she were XhXh, she would be affected herself, but she would not be considered a carrier). Furthermore, since we are looking for a son, the sperm will have to be a Y-chromosome bearing one. So if two recessive traits are uncovered, the genes for those two traits must be next to each other on the chromosome. Cross (e) -- Red #1 x Blue -- should be RB x BW, which should give a 1:1 ratio of red:blue (draw Punnett squares if you're uncertain about this). (iv) A variety of molecular tests is possible. (iii) The maximum contribution of additive alleles = 36 - 12 = 24 cm. In patients, a portion of the growth factor gene should be translocated to a different chromosome (according to the prevailing hypothesis). (from 1998) The Lod score graph tells us that the pedigree data favor a map distance of 5 cM between Gene 1 and PS1, a map distance of 15 cM between Gene 1 and PS1, a map distance of 10 cM between Gene 1 and PS3, etc. Of the eleven Dd progeny, ten also have allele 21; only one has allele 27. (c) Tall and short progeny are seen in 1:1 ratio; this must be a heterozygote x homozygous recessive cross as in 1(d) above: Tt x tt --> Tt (tall) and tt (short) in 1:1 ratio (d) The progeny are tall only; as in 1(c), the cross must be TT x tt --> Tt (tall) (e) Short plants must be homozygous recessive (tt); therefore, the cross is tt x tt --> tt short plants only 3. It could also be sex-limited (phenotype expressed in men), but as with autosomal recessive, we'd have to assume that the disease is common. (a) There are three segregating traits here: G/g, A/a, and XY. Therefore, the insulin gene must be located on chromosome 11.

